

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

1. A method for sharing multiple resources among users using an arbiter comprising allocating a first of the resources speculatively to one of the users for use during an access interval, absent a request for the first resource from the one of the users.
2. The method as recited in claim 1 further comprising allocating at least a second of the resources for use during the access interval according to a request received by the arbiter for the second resource.
3. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the arbiter speculatively allocates the first resource to the one of the users according to the one of the users being granted a request for use of one of the resources during a previous arbitration cycle.
4. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the arbiter speculatively allocates the first resources to the one of the users according to the one of the users having requested the first resource during a previous arbitration cycle.
5. The method as recited in claim 4 wherein when multiple requesters requested the first resource during the previous arbitration cycle, the arbiter speculatively allocates the first resource to the one of the users according to one of a round-robin scheme and a pseudo random scheme.
6. The method as recited in claim 4 wherein when multiple requesters requested the first resource during a predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles, the arbiter speculatively allocates the first resource according to which of the users had the most requests for the first resource, during the predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles, the predetermined number being one or more arbitration cycles.
7. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein speculatively allocating the first resource comprises allocating the first resource according to which of the users

received the most grants for the first resource during a predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles.

8. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein speculatively allocating the first resource comprises allocating the first resource according to which of the users had the most requests for all resources combined, for a predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles.

9. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein speculatively allocating the first resource comprises allocating the first resource according to which of the users had the most grants for a predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles.

10. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein speculatively allocating the first resource comprises allocating the first resource according to one of a fixed priority scheme and a scheme allocating the resource to the user with the fewest requests.

11. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein speculatively allocating the first resource comprises allocating the first resource according to a fill level of at least one of a send queue and a receive queue associated respectively with a user and resource for an arbitrated data transfer.

12. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the maximum number of resources unallocated by the arbiter for a particular access cycle are speculatively allocated for use during the particular access cycle.

13. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the arbiter receives an indication from at least one user as to whether the user wishes to be speculatively granted a resource.

14. The method as recited in claim 13 wherein the arbiter further receives a specified set of one or more resources indicating which resources the user is interested in being speculatively granted.

15. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the arbiter receives an indication from at least one resource as to whether the one resource wishes to be speculatively granted to a user.

16. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein real-time requests are speculatively allocated by the arbiter.

17. The method as recited in claim 1 further comprising speculatively granting more than one resource, including the first resource, to the one of the users, thereby allowing for a multicast operation.

18. The method as recited in claim 17 wherein information transfers associated with the multicast operation identify target resources of the multicast operation, thereby allowing resources to selectively accept information transferred by the multicast operation.

19. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the resources are storage locations and the users are processors.

20. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the resources are communication links and the users are communicatively coupled to the communication links.

21. An arbitration apparatus comprising:  
first means, coupled to receive requests for use of resources by users and coupled to grant access to the resources, for allocating one or more of the resources to users for use during an access interval, according to the requests ; and  
second means for speculatively allocating at least a second of the resources to at least one of the users for use during the access interval.

400804335002102

22. An apparatus comprising:  
a plurality of users;  
an arbiter coupled to receive requests for use of resources by respective users,  
the arbiter responsive to speculatively allocate a resource to one of the  
users for use during an access interval, absent a request from the one  
user for the resource.

23. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein the arbiter allocates at least a  
second resource for use during the access interval according to a request received by  
the arbiter for the second resource.

24. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein the arbiter speculatively  
allocates the at least one resource to the one of the users according to the one of the  
users having been granted a request for the resource during a previous arbitration  
cycle.

25. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein the arbiter speculatively  
allocates the at least one resource to the one of the users according to the one of the  
users having requested the one resource during a previous arbitration cycle.

26. The apparatus as recited in claim 25 wherein when multiple requesters  
requested the at least one resource during the previous arbitration cycle, the arbiter is  
responsive to speculatively allocate the at least one resource to the one of the users  
according to one of a round-robin scheme and a pseudo random scheme.

27. The apparatus as recited in claim 25 wherein when multiple requesters  
requested the at least one resource during a predetermined number of previous  
arbitration cycles, the arbiter speculatively allocates the at least one resource  
according to which of the users had the most requests for the at least one resource,  
during the predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles, the predetermined  
number being one or more.

28. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein speculatively allocating the at least one resource comprises allocating the at least one resource according to which of the users received the most grants for the at least one resource or made the most requests for the at least one resource, during a predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles.

29. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein speculatively allocating the at least one resource comprises allocating the at least one resource according to which of the users received the most grants or made the most requests, for a predetermined number of previous arbitration cycles.

30. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein speculatively allocating the at least one resource comprises allocating the at least one resource according to a fixed priority scheme.

31. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein a maximum number of resources unallocated by the arbitration logic for a particular access cycle in response to requests are speculatively allocated for use during the particular access cycle.

32. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein the arbitration logic receives an indication from one or more of the users as to whether the user wishes to be speculatively granted a resource.

33. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein real-time requests are speculatively allocated by the arbiter.

34. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 further comprising speculatively granting more than one resource, including the at least one resource, to the one of the users, thereby allowing for multicast operations.

35. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein the resources are memories and the users are processors.

36. The apparatus as recited in claim 22 wherein the resources are communication links and the users are communicatively coupled to the communication links.

37. A computer program product encoded on computer readable media providing an arbiter function that allocates resources among users of the resources, comprising:

- a first program portion operable to allocate resources in response to requests for use of the resources; and
- a second program portion operable to allocate at least one resource speculatively to a user in the absence of a request from the user for the resource.

38. The computer program product as recited in claim 37 wherein the computer readable media is selected from the set of a disk, tape or other magnetic, optical, semiconductor or electronic storage medium and a network, wireline, wireless or other communications medium.

39. A method of allocating resources in a system comprising:  
arbitrating during a first arbitration cycle, requests received prior to a  
beginning of the first arbitration cycle, the requests for utilization of  
one or more of the resources during a particular usage interval;  
allocating at least a second of the resources unallocated during the first  
arbitration cycle, prior to a start of the particular usage interval.

40. The method as recited in claim 39 wherein the at least one resource is allocated speculatively.

41. The method as recited in claim 39 wherein the at least one resource is allocated utilizing a second arbitration cycle, subsequent to the first arbitration cycle, the second arbitration cycle arbitrating those requests received after the start of the first arbitration cycle.

42. The method as recited in claim 41 wherein the second arbitration cycle is shorter than the first arbitration cycle.

43. An apparatus comprising:

an arbiter coupled to supply control information for use of resources;  
the arbiter coupled to receive requests from users for use of the resources

        during a particular access interval, the arbiter responsive to a first group of requests received prior to the start of a first arbitration cycle to determine allocation of the resources during the first arbitration cycle according to the first group of requests and to receive at least a second plurality of requests after the start of the first arbitration cycle, and to determine additional allocation of the resources according to the second group of requests during a second arbitration cycle, the second arbitration cycle being shorter than the first arbitration cycle, the first and second arbitration cycles allocating resources for use during the particular access interval.

44. An arbiter coupled to receive requests for use of resources by users, the arbiter responsive to speculatively allocate at least one resource to one of the users for use during an access interval, absent a request by the one user for the resource and to allocate other of the resources for use during the access interval according to requests for use of the resources.